PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	18	APR	2002
WIDO			OCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		(4 - 2 - 1	
Applicant's or	agent's file reference	The second second	See Notification of Transmittal of International
1351827.0	069	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International	application No.	International filing date (day/mont	
PCT/CA00	/01442	08/12/2000	10/12/1999
International H04L12/56		national classification and IPC	
Applicant			
MOSAID T	TECHNOLOGIES INC.		
1. This in and is	ternational preliminary ext transmitted to the applicat	amination report has been preparent according to Article 36.	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This R	EPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover	sheet.
be (se	an amended and are the	basis for this report and/or sheets n 607 of the Administrative Instruc	the description, claims and/or drawings which have containing rectifications made before this Authority tions under the PCT).
ì	☐ Basis of the report	relating to the following items:	
!} !!!	☐ Priority ☐ Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, is	nventive step and industrial applicability
١V	☐ Lack of unity of inve		
٧	☒ Reasoned statemer	nt under Article 35(2) with regard to nations suporting such statement	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI	☐ Certain documents		
VII		he international application	
VIII	☐ Certain observation	ns on the international application	
Date of sub	mission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report
11/06/20	01	16.04	1.2002
Name and preliminary	mailing address of the interna examining authority:	tional Autho	orized officer
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	23656 epmu d	patou, E
	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		phone No. +49 89 2399 7521

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/01442

	Basis of the report
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to
	the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"

	and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:						
	1-47		as originally filed				
	Clair	ms, No.:					
	1-48		as received on	14/03/2002	with letter of	14/03/2002	
	Drav	wings, sheets:					
	1/34	-34/34	as originally filed				
2.	With lang	\Box the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					e: , which is:		
		the language of a	translation furnished for t	he purposes of the	nternational searc	ch (under Rule 23.1(b)).	
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3)	a translation furnished for t	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examinati			
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
The statement that the information ration listing has been furnished.				d in computer read	able form is identi	cal to the written sequence	
4.	. The	e amendments ha	ve resulted in the cancella	tion of:			
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/01442

		the drawings,	sheets:						
5.		considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):							
		(Any replacement sl report.)	eet containii	ng such a	mendments i	must be refer	red to under ite	em 1 and ann	exed to this
6.	Ado	litional observations,	if necessary:						
111.	Noi	n-establishment of c	pinion with	regard to	o novelty, in	ventive step	and industria	ıl applicability	У
1.	The	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- byious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
		the entire international application.							
	⊠ claims Nos. 25-48.								
be	cau	se:							
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which doe not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>):					/hich does		
	☐ the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						so unclear		
		the claims, or said could be formed.	claims Nos.	are so ina	adequately su	ipported by th	ne description t	that no meani	ngful opinior
		no international sea	rch report ha	as been e	stablished fo	r the said cla	ims Nos		
 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nu- and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Admin Instructions: 				cleotide nistrative					
	☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.								
		the computer reada	able form has	not beer	n furnished or	r does not col	mply with the s	tandard.	
٧	. Re	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					plicability;		
1		Statement							
	No	ovelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-24				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/CA00/01442

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims 1-24

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Although claims 1, 25 and 37 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 25 to 48 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The subject-matter of claim 1, as far as the claim can be understood (see following paragraph 4) is new and involves an inventive step, Article 33(2)(3) PCT.
- 1.1 Claim 1 refers to a lookup table with a first level mapper, comprising a plurality of first memory locations indexed by a first portion of a destination address key provided in a search request; and a second level mapper comprising a plurality of second memory locations indexed dependent on a second portion of the destination address key.
- 1.2 Such a lookup table is disclosed in document D1: US 5 479 401.
- 1.3 The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known lookup table in that the lookup table is a longest prefix lookup table, adapted to provide the longest prefix match for the destination address key.

- 1.4 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing a more efficient lookup table.
- 2. Such a solution cannot be derived from the cited prior art.
- 2.1 Document D1: US-A-5 479 401 discloses a table lookup mechanism for selecting the destination for an ATM cell, including two tables. The first table is for the VPI and the second for the VCI and the result of the exact match search is a signal used for directing the ATM cell.
- 2.2 Document D2: US-A-5 857 196 refers to a radix search tree, with a table of keys divided into a string of symbols. It suggests multiple search requests (one for each symbol) and it looks for exact match of the symbols.
- 3. The above applies also for the subject matter of the method claim 13, which corresponds to claim 1.
- 4. Claims 1 and 13 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The functional statement, according to which the second level mapper comprises a first subtree select stored in an indexed one of the first memory locations, does not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated functions.

In addition, it is not clear if the key itself or the value corresponding to the longest prefix match for the key is stored in one of the mappers.